

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

see form PCT/ISA/220

PCT

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)

<p><b>Applicant's or agent's file reference</b> see form PCT/ISA/220</p> <p>International application No. <b>PCT/GB2004/002475</b></p> <p>International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC <b>G06F9/44</b></p> <p>Applicant <b>SYMBIAN SOFTWARE LIMITED</b></p>		
<p><b>Date of mailing</b> (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)</p>		
<p><b>FOR FURTHER ACTION</b> See paragraph 2 below</p>		
International filing date (day/month/year) <b>10.06.2004</b>	Priority date (day/month/year) <b>12.06.2003</b>	

**1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:**

- Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- Box No. II Priority
- Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

**2. FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

**3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.**

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas  
Tel. +31 70 340-2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl  
Fax: +31 70 340-3016

Authorized Officer

Kielhöfer, P

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3490



**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.  
PCT/GB2004/002475

---

**Box No. I Basis of the opinion**

---

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
  - This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
  - a. type of material:
    - a sequence listing
    - table(s) related to the sequence listing
  - b. format of material:
    - in written format
    - in computer readable form
  - c. time of filing/furnishing:
    - contained in the international application as filed.
    - filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
    - furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.  In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.  
PCT/GB2004/002475

---

**Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or  
industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

---

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes:	Claims	3-6
	No:	Claims	1,2,7,8
Inventive step (IS)	Yes:	Claims	
	No:	Claims	1-8
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes:	Claims	1-8
	No:	Claims	

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING  
AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)**

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/002475

**Re Item V**

**Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;  
citations and explanations supporting such statement**

- 1 Reference is made to the following documents:  
D1: US 2002/147903 A1 (2002-10-10),  
D2: WALDIN R: "Re: Dependency Sorting, first of kind"(1999-11-02),  
D3: WO 01/46798 A (2001-06-28),  
D4: EP-A-0 543 588 (1993-05-26)
- 2 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1, 2, 7, 8 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT and because the subject-matter of claims 3-6 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 2.1 The document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A method of automatically analysing the structure of a software system (§51 and fig.5-501), comprising the step of using an automated software tool to determine the dependency depth level of each of several executables (record number of dependencies for each module, §69, §70) and to then partition the system by organising the executables into their respective dependency depth levels (sort modules into dependency order, §86 and fig.14).

- 2.2 The same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to the subject-matter of the corresponding independent claims 7, 8, which therefore are also considered not new.
- 2.3 Dependent claims 2-6 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step, see documents D2-D4 and the corresponding passages cited in the search report.